



TRUTH

Be Told NEWS

May, 2024

WWW.TRUTHBETOLDNEWS.COM

Volume 1, Issue 1

Simple Theft? -or- Election Interference?

Staff Writer

On April 15, 2024 an individual known as Ms. Sue McCreary was observed taking political signs from private property outside an eating establishment in downtown Stevensville Montana. This act was witnessed by multiple people, including the candidate whose property was taken. This candidate subsequently filed a report with the Stevensville Police Department.

After an investigation by Chief Boe, Ms. McCreary was issued a ticket for violation of MCA 45-6-301. Theft.

This could have been the end of things other than Ms. McCreary appearing at her hearing scheduled for May 1, 2024. But it wasn't. It was only the beginning. Many surprises were to come as this story continued to unfold during the Town Council meeting held on April 25th, 2024.

Surprise One: While addressing the Town Council, Mayor Bob Michalson stated that he had contacted Police Chief John Boe, requesting the Chief come to the Mayor's home to discuss this matter. That was a surprise because this confession indicated the Mayor had directly risked influencing an ongoing legal matter. There are laws against this sort of thing.

Surprise Two: Mayor Michalson, in his official capacity, chastised the victim of this crime, Mrs. Dailey. The Mayor, expressed near outrage that a citizen had an expectation that her rights would be secured and upheld, laws would be enforced and justice would be served.

Surprise Three: delivered by Mayor Michalson when he declared, in part to avoid "this kind of coverage", that he "used my authority as the mayor, under section 74-43-05, power to grant pardons..., so I'm reporting to you, I granted her a pardon" Unfortunately for the Mayor, the ordinance cited empowers the mayor to pardon individuals who have violated a town ordinance, NOT those who violated a State Law such as Ms. McCreary.

Surprise Four: It was revealed that the Mayor had confiscated the ticket issued by Police Chief Boe. Once more risking interference in the legal proceedings.

A video of the full statement can be found in the last five minutes of the meeting on the Town's YouTube channel.

This reporter attempted to attend the hearing scheduled for Ms. McCreary on May 1, 2024. Upon arriving, I discovered that because of the "pardon" issued to Ms. McCreary, the hearing was canceled. Due to the change in schedule, I had an opportunity to interview the mayor. At this time the mayor admitted that he had "acted out of naivety" when he issued the pardon. I encouraged the mayor to seek counsel to determine how he could best correct his error.



Photo courtesy of Kim Dailey

As the direct victim of this crime, Kim Dailey agreed to be interviewed for this article. She was very surprised that the mayor would publicly diminish the seriousness of the crime and then criticize her for bringing the crime to the attention of law enforcement.

"This is election interference and a violation of my first amendment rights." Mrs. Dailey said. She is correct, the United States Supreme Court has consistently applied the principle of free speech protection to its decisions, with political speech receiving the greatest protection.

One must question, if the United States Supreme Court deems political speech worthy of the greatest level of protection, why are those same standards of law not equally applied in Stevensville Township?

Continued...See McCreary on page 5



To support the TRUTH
and promote your business
Contact
Jeffjones@truthbetoldnews.com



What Are CVRs?

Staff

In our modern world, where technology seems to intrude into most every aspect of our lives and is even required in some, terminology becomes increasingly important. Therefore, clear understanding of those terms is equally important.

CVRs, or "Cast Vote Records" is one of those terms. Why is this important? It's important because CVRs are your receipt. Receipt for what? For one of the most important and supposedly protected transactions an American takes part in, YOUR VOTE! Cast Vote Records are the itemized receipt that shows precisely the number of transactions (ballots), the items purchased (votes cast), and the columned running total for each race.

Imagine shopping at the grocery store and receiving a receipt with nothing but the total of the transaction printed on it. Would you have any questions? Or would you simply accept that the clerk and the till recorded and properly accounted for each and every item you purchased? Would your questions be different if you purchased 10 items or 300? I imagine so. Yet, that is what you receive after participating in one of the most sacred rights in America, elections. A receipt with only the total on it, that is, the election results.

How can this be? Well, in Montana, the Secretary Of State has declared that you, the customers, are not deserving of an itemized receipt. True, in spite of the fact that a law exists that demands you receive one. MCA 13-17-103 (L) reads: "allows auditors to access and monitor any software program while it is running on the system to determine whether the software is running properly;" Properly understood, this law requires that the records produced by the voting machines while they are calculating the totals, be produced, preserved and presented to the customers, the voters. Just like your supermarket till tracks your grocery purchases. Therefore these are in practice one of the most important election records produced in an election, along with the voted ballots. A CVR is the digital record of what the machine did while it was processing your votes, just like the grocery receipt from your supermarket.

As testified by ES&S's Chris Walashin at the 2023 Montana legislature, "ES&S machines automatically produce CVRs" when asked what ES&S-produced records should be preserved for a proper audit, Chris named many records, CVR's being one of the primaries.

In addition, 52 U.S. Code Chapter 207 - FEDERAL ELECTION RECORDS 01 thru 06 with 20702 defines "Theft, destruction, concealment, mutilation, or alteration of records or papers;" with penalties.

You are encourage to contact your secretary of state and politely demand your receipt at: State Capitol, Room 260 - PO Box 202801, Helena, Montana 59620-2801 or 406-444-2034 before this, what many are calling the most significant election in U.S. history, becomes un-auditable history.

Staff

The jungle referred to here is Montana's open "Jungle Primary".

What does this mean for the local Montana voter? Simply, it means the laws of the jungle apply in choosing who will represent us.

What are the laws of the jungle? There are none. It's "No-holds-barred!" It is where an individual wearing the uniform of your team may actually be someone drafted by the opposition. All fair play in the jungle. Where dark money is allowed in and only accountable (maybe) after the fact and the damage is done. Anyone can pay their registration fee and declare whatever party affiliation they believe will give them the best chance of winning. The proverbial wolf in sheep's clothing. This invites deception. What begins in deception will certainly end in deception.

Has this ploy worked in state and local races? You will find the answers by checking the bills introduced and supported along with the voting records of your current representatives. "Facts are stubborn things", as John Adams said.

In the Jungle, there is no integrity, no fair-play. It is a kill or be killed game. The winners take pride in their deceptive talents. This is voter-beware.

First, the populous MUST come to grips with an ominous truth; We are in a war for the soul of our Republic. This war has been raging ever since America became the ONLY NATION FOUNDED UNDER GOD, NOT UNDER MAN. The enemy is tenacious, their tactics well honed, deception and fear are key weapons in the arsenal. This is winner-takes-all. Who is this enemy? The answer to this can only be discovered through studying history. History will expose patterns of methodology and tactics.

From history, we can clearly identify what agenda is driving the enemies of freedom. The pattern that self-identifies here is the age-old enemy, marxist-communism. One will see parallels in the history of Russia, China and nearly every tyrannical regime throughout history. Division is the first tactic deployed by every dawning communist or Marxist movement. This often begins with highlighting and then empowering the downtrodden and deprived, regardless of how prosperous they may be, through bolstering their outcry, then providing the solution. This solution often takes the form of political status and financial support, drawing the "lesser class" in, only to be used as pawns against the "oppressors". This is currently reaching fulfillment in our higher education system.

Looking locally at the Republican party you can easily see how effective this tactic can

Welcome to the Jungle



be. Why the republican party? Great question! Long considered the defenders of personal freedoms and responsibilities, limited government and power of the people, the republican party has historically been the guardian of these American values. Why isn't the democrat party targeted? Another great Question! Again, history provides us the answer. Norman Thomas, a six-time Presidential Candidate of the Socialist Party beginning back in 1928 famously said "I no longer need to run as a Presidential Candidate for the Socialist Party. The Democratic Party has adopted our platform." Enough said. Just as the devil focuses his divisive efforts within the church because that is where the power is, Marxists focus their efforts on the political power source of the resistance to their agenda. As within the church, if you can capture the leaders, you capture a whole denomination. So it is in a political party.

All politics are local, there are wolves in sheep's clothing running in every republican primary race in the Bitterroot today. There are few democrats running. The Dems don't need to run, many republicans are captured uniparty loyalists.. Yet, there are still a few true Americans willing to pledge their lives, liberty and sacred honor in an effort to restore and secure your God given rights. You can identify them pretty easily. They will also be the ones asking to debate their opponents and repeatedly being denied or ignored. They are the candidates shunned by the party establishment. They are the ones standing in the breach against those who seek nothing more than power and status that they believe they deserve, at your expense. They lack funding, relying on you and I to fund their campaigns. Their opponents will face no such problems.

The challenge to the voter is to discover who the candidates REALLY are. Much like removing the layers of an onion, true character is under the surface. Often, the character of a person is revealed only when pressure is applied; When you squeeze a lemon, what do you get? Lemon juice. Why? Because that's what is in it! How can this pressure be applied? DEBATES! Once the centerpiece of politics, debates are becoming a rare occurrence on the political stage.

In our system of government, the government gains power only one way, it takes yours. You, the voter, are the prey!

The Safest Choice For Children Is Dave Kesler

Reprinted with permission of Montanasentinel.press

As the Montana State Representative for the National Safe Parents Organization, we have meticulously vetted Montana's legislative candidates to determine who prioritizes the health and safety of children and who can be trusted to display honor in the ruthless political arena.

As a private citizen Dave Kesler has sacrificed countless hours, and his own hard-earned money, to educate himself and advocate for common sense child safety laws in Montana over the last few years. Unintimidated by the hyper slanderous hyperbole excreted by the current Representative in this district, Mr. Kesler stands out as an honest representative of the values characteristic of true Montanan's.

Dave Kesler has earned the respect and trust of the National Domestic Violence community and is regarded as a welcome and powerful resource for desperately needed changes within Montana's Family Court. Unlike his incumbent opponent, who is professionally regarded as flimsy, for sale, and hypocritical in his campaign claims versus his voting record, Mr. Kesler has demonstrated himself to be full of integrity.

While most in his local community may regard Mr. Kesler as simply the hard working always-ready-to-lend-a-hand neighbor who loves his Country, we, in the National arena of Child Safety Advocacy regard Mr. Kesler as a man who possesses a surprising intellect regarding the complex matters of family health and child safety issues. Firmly grounded in his unwavering faith in holding himself to the highest standard of good old-fashion morality based on The Golden Rule, we can trust that Mr. Kesler's promises, and his actions will be identical.

Unlike many politicians whose constant clamoring for your attention is simply an attempt to stroke their narcissistic insecure ego and gain political power, Dave Kesler has reluctantly graced the political stage of Montana with the refreshing hope of authenticity. The families of Montana NEED to finally believe their Representative will authentically reflect their values. If loving your Faith and your Family and your Freedoms is considered "extreme", as Dave Kesler's opponent has claimed, then let it be known with pride the new definition of "extreme" is Dave Kesler... a father willing to fight for his family ... and yours.

Elizabeth Peterson

Montana State Representative

National Safe Parents Organization

Manhattan, MT 59741

What CI-128 Does And How It Will Affect Montana

Reprinted with permission of Montanasentinel.press Staff

It is a proposed constitutional amendment that aims to explicitly enshrine the destruction of innocent life as a constitutional "right" in Montana.



Based on the language of CI-128, the law will NEVER limit abortion before viability and will ALWAYS permit abortion after viability. The amendment will be placed in the Montana Constitution's Article II Declaration of Rights, making abortion in Montana always about the rights of the mother, never about the rights of the baby to live.

Summary:

- * Unlimited Abortion – CI-128 would create a constitutional right to unlimited abortion including partial-birth abortion.
- * The amendment provides no legal argument that can be made for the "right to life" of the unborn child.
- * Healthcare Professionals: meaning a doctor, nurse, chiropractor, physical therapist, or paramedic can approve abortion AT ANY STAGE OF PREGNANCY.
- * Abortions are valid for medical or psychological reasons of the mother.
- * "Fetal viability" is determined by the "judgment" call of the abortionist.
- * Taxpayer Funded Abortion – passing

CI-128 will increase taxpayer-funded abortion procedures in Montana.

- * This will invalidate any state laws we do have prohibiting abortion. Abortion will be legal at all stages of the baby's pre-birth life.
- * Safe Harbor for Sex Traffickers – predators and sex traffickers will be protected when they force victims to obtain abortion
- * Eliminates current laws on parental notification. Our teens will receive abortions and parents will have no knowledge or voice because the law prohibits any infringement on the "pregnant patient's autonomous decision-making".
- * The broad language "reproductive care" also opens the door to radical gender reassignment surgery.
- * Understand this, Jeff Laszloffy, President of the Montana Family Foundation warns that if this goes to the voters, it will pass.

Please ask your friends to "decline to sign" the petitions being circulated.



Featured Interview

U.S. House District 1 Candidate

Mary Todd

Staff

Thank You Mary for agreeing to sit down with us.

TBTN: So the first question we have for you, Mary, is you've written a book about your family's experience with a son in service to our country, tragically paying the highest ultimate price. Let me thank you for your family's sacrifice and inquire; How do you feel that experience has influenced your perception of our government?

Mary: That's when I first discovered that our government is very corrupt on both sides. Both sides, not just democrats or republicans, both parties. Both parties we met with, up to the highest level. Joe Biden was Vice President at the time he got a twenty page paper explaining everything. He shut it down from the highest level. Senator Baucus, he was our senator here in Montana, a Democrat. He acted like he was going to go to Singapore with this fight. We met with him several times up until he became the ambassador to China.

TBTN: So would you label it as the uni-party?

Mary: Yes, I would, our government is very corrupt, my tag line is end government corruption.

TBTN: The last 3 years have been a rollercoaster for many Montanans. COVID, J6, the mask, the jab, it just goes on. Now the truth is making its way even to the mainstream. America is learning what most of us knew all along.

Mary: Well, I always say when tyrants reign, resistance is duty and I will resist every bill. Every omnibus bill to spend money, everything that would shut down our society. When COVID hit, I was pastoring a small church and I did close for a couple of weeks but realized this is so evil. We opened our doors, That's when our church started to really grow and so I would run the government in the same way, follow the Holy Spirit, do what God has called us to do and fight for what is right.

TBTN: It seems you would apply Biblical presidents first, then the constitution, to empower the people.

Mary: The Constitution which I think is very biblical, not our Montana Constitution but our U.S. Constitution. I would bring the sovereignty back to the states, bring the power back to the people. We don't need Washington running Montana. You mentioned the last three years and what I want to educate people that this has been going on since the beginning. The Federal Reserve is not federal. No, it's not, and people don't understand that they're being robbed every single day and so those are the things that I want to educate people on and I'm boldly speaking out. I did the last time I ran and I was called a conspiracy theorist, but you know the difference between a conspiracy theory and a true conspiracy. Six months is the only difference between a conspiracy theory and the truth.

TBTN: Changing subjects, do you support the WHO, WHO meaning the world health organization?

Mary: I am adamantly opposed to the world health organization. When they met in Davos, Michelle Bachman was the only representative that told us what was going on there and they were building a, they called it, "a treaty", but they called it "an agreement" because a treaty has to be voted on and then they added three hundred amendments to take away all our sovereignty and then they would be in charge of our health so they could shut our country down whenever they wanted to. Even if it's just the threat of some health problem, so I have



been fighting that and will continue to fight. The WHO is evil and run by satanists.

TBTN: Thank you. The American people appreciate that. Do you support the US leaving the UN and if you do why.?

Mary: I definitely support the US leaving the UN. I think it's been nothing but building global governance and I am opposed to it.

TBTN: What do you think about the UN's attitude toward Israel?

Mary: Right now, the UN attitude towards Israel is very negative and they're not supporting Israel. As a believer in the Bible and a lover of God who says to pray for the piece of Jerusalem, I'm against the way they stand against Israel.

TBTN: In your estimation, what immediate steps should be taken to make America less dependent on China.

Mary: I think President, my real President, Donald Trump was doing a good job when he took away tariffs. I don't think they should be allowed to buy any land here at all. They have taken over Hollywood. My book hard drive, a family's fight against three countries, has been in three movie deals, China has put a kybosh on every one of them. They threatened life's on the last one, the writer's lives were threatened, so we need to stop their influence. They bought Five of our ports in the last ten years. They buy farmlands next to our military bases which has gone up one thousand percent. They have infiltrated our colleges and installed Confucius institute and ISIS in all the big colleges. They give so much money they've bought our elected officials on both sides, sadly. So we need tough leadership that will say no to China and protect our country right now. Our border is open and when you see the statistics about how many military age Chinese men are coming through our border without protection, so my first job would be to close our border, we have to stop the terrorists from China coming in.

TBTN: Considering the national dialog we are obligated to ask you about your stance on Ukraine.

Mary: When I ran last time I was the only candidate that said funding Ukraine was a bad idea and everybody thought I was horrible but we don't know where that money is gone and I really truly believe that that money is circling right back to Joe Biden, and it is. It's just we never should just give away money, where we have no idea where it's going. We have no idea what they're doing with it. We have a corrupt government who can get it right back so I'm against, I'm against supporting Ukraine in that fashion.

TBTN: Thank you. What is your opinion on the climate change agenda?

Mary: I think it's a hoax and I think it's to control us again, and to control our money. I think that they're using very bad science. It's not science at all to try to prove it I really appreciate Dr. Ed Barry, who's written a book on climate change, which I've read. It

really proves the case that they're they're lying to us.

TBTN: In your position as U.S., Congresswoman, what will you do to bring self-reliance back to Montana?

Mary: That's a really good question. I'd have to think about the actual steps to take. I think my first steps as congresswoman would be to use the power of the purse to stop what's going on to close our borders and then I would use the power of the purse to bring the sovereignty back to the state, but I also don't trust our state leaders so much. So I want "we the people" to realize that our leaders are servants. When I go into office. I am to serve the people. So the most important thing I could do is to tell people, don't look at us as somebody to look up to. We are to look up to you and we serve you. So I will answer phone calls. I will fight for people when they have problems. I will hear people's voices. I won't ignore phone calls that are important and even if I don't like what they're saying I will listen.

TBTN: Do you think that in the future you will have some kind of local meetings for people to listen to what they have to say.

Mary: Yes, my husband Rick and I, he's my partner and Toni and Rick and I got it together. We were talking about traveling the whole district and really visiting with people and being very accessible. I love to do that anyway and I think it's really important. I'm so upset about all the time that our Congress, the senate and the house, take off. I think three weeks for Thanksgiving, three weeks for Christmas. That's not going to happen under my watch. If they give us a vacation, I will be here working for the people of Montana.

TBTN: Thank you. In your estimation. What is the first and primary function of government?

Mary: The first and primary function of government is to for the the safety of people and to keep our sovereignty in this country. To give people the right of freedom and to fight for their right for freedom in our constitution and for less government, not more government.

TBTN: Now, I'd like to ask Toni if she would like to make any comments.

Toni: I have worked with Mary for the last three years. I met her in 2021 and did not know much about her. I offered to give her a few hours as a volunteer and as I came in and began to work for her. It went from a few hours to full-time to way into sixty hours a week. I have found this lady to be the most integral woman I have ever been around. What she says she means. I have a very strong feeling of being a woman of integrity and if I can't trust integrity, I can't trust you and I feel like I can trust Mary. With everything she says, and she has not proven herself to be false in anything.

TBTN: Thank you, I want to thank you Mary for your commitment and the sacrifice of your family and for yourself, your life and wanting to be a servant to the people because this is what the people want, this is what the people need. They need somebody that will stand up to secure their rights. Our Government was instituted among men to secure our rights. Thank you both, ladies, for this time. An opportunity for me to share in conversation with the both of you was an honor.

Cont'd - McCreary

Staff

On May 22nd Ms. McCreary appeared for her hearing to face theft charges in Stevensville. McCreary was self-represented. The courtroom was standing room only.

Upon hearing the charges and the Police report read to her repeatedly, due you her hearing challenges, McCreary attempted to modify her statement contained in the Police report. This attempt was thwarted by Judge O'Conner. She also stated that the date on the ticket was incorrect.

The date on the ticket was indeed incorrect. This oddity highlights the flawed process caused by the Stevensville Mayor inserting himself into the ongoing investigation and legal process. By doing so, the Mayor made a number of missteps. First as previously stated, he invited "the Chief over to his home on Sunday (April 22) to discuss this case." During this meeting the Mayor confiscated the original ticket written and issued on April 15th. Obviously, the original ticket was not returned for reissue, forcing Chief Boe to issue a new ticket.

The new ticket may be the cause of the documents presented for the hearing containing inaccurate dates, including the Police report submitted by Chief Boe. With these being official legal documents, should they not be as accurate as possible? Especially, considering all events occurred in less than a month.

The Police report is surprisingly brief. Kim Dailey shared that to the best of her knowledge, no witnesses to the crime were interviewed by Chief Boe. Had the Chief provided testimony of those witnesses, those accounts could have been influential for the Commissioner On Political Practices (COPP) in deciphering the truths of this case.

There have been many missteps in this case by those in charge. Such as The Chief refusing to charge election interference under **MCA 45-7-401 "Official Misconduct"**. The Mayor's confiscation of the original ticket under **MCA 45-7-207 "Tampering with or fabricating physical evidence"**. The Chief's repeated (3x's) refusal to bring felony charges under **MCA 45-7-305 "Compounding of felony"**. The confirmed meeting to "address this matter" implicating both the Mayor and the Chief in violation of **MCA 45-7-102 "Threats and other improper influence in official and political matters."** It has now surfaced that the administration of Stevensville has been in violation of **MCA 7-4-4604** which declares the "Duties" of the city attorney, which includes: The city attorney shall (1) "appear before the city court and other courts and prosecute on behalf of the city." The current city attorney Greg Overstreet is currently banned from prosecuting in city court. Yet, there is no documentation showing the execution of **MCA 7-4-4603 "Removal or suspension. The city attorney may be suspended or removed from office by the city council for the neglect, violation, or disregard of the duties required by law or the ordinances of the city."** In Fact, records show that Greg Overstreet recieved a three year contract extension rather than the typical two-year contract. This fact puts all cases where the "city attorney" was not allowed to prosecute at risk.

It is evident that the effort of the Stevensville Mayor to avoid the McCreary case from bringing "this kind of attention" to the town has backfired.



Government Review Commission

Staff

There was one good thing to come out of the recent meetings held by the County Commissioners regarding Election Integrity. Unfortunately, it had nothing to do with election Integrity. The good news pertained to the press releases making the citizens in Ravalli County aware of the form of Government our County has operated under for many years, adopting it,


This revelation came when our commissioners desired to audit local elections. This desire stemmed from our Commissioners, when seeking ways to affirm the elections in Ravalli County were safe, secure, open, accurate and auditable, discovered they were disallowed from auditing local elections by the Secretary of State. With the County being "in charge" of elections as defined by the legislature, this came as a surprise to many.

Ravalli County operates under a "General Powers" form of government. Of all the forms of Government available, the General Powers form is the MOST SUBSERVIENT to the State. To boil it down, our county can not exercise any authority unless State Laws says they may. That's right, If there's not a law that says they can, they can't.

This is so contrary to the American concept of freedom, most have difficulty getting their head around it. Imagine living in a world where you have to seek permission to go to work, or get a new job, or buy a hunting or fishing license, or do anything else you take the freedom to do for granted. That is our form of county government.

Why would a County voluntarily surrender the rights of self-government for themselves and thereby their citizens? The answer may surprise you; Logic. With great authority comes great responsibility. Under a "General Powers" form of Government, elected officials have an out from accepting the full responsibility of their positions. Simply stated, IT'S EASIER to surrender your rights and their responsibilities to a "higher authority" than to accept and administer it.

Soon we will vote to empower the "County Government Review Commission" process here in Ravalli County. Here's to hope. Hope that our civil and freedom minded citizens see fit to reclaim the governing authority our founding fathers envisioned when they chose to rest ALL NON ENUMERATED POWERS into the hands of WE THE PEOPLE. Hope that WE, as a free and independent people, can accept and embrace this authority which is rightfully ours.



What is truth?

By Von Dailey

Author of "My Experiences with The Trinity" VonDailey.Com

The question "What is Truth?" has been a long standing question. It goes much deeper than telling a lie or non-truth. It really defines what we perceive as reality. So figuring out "truth" is essentially figuring out reality.

What is Reality?

Have you, even once in your life, realized that something that you thought was reality wasn't what you originally thought. So, all of a sudden, something you thought to be truth was actually false. Then, you have to ask yourself, what is reality or what is truth? Because what you believe to be true today you might find out tomorrow that it is false. In essence, you were living a false reality. Basically, a false reality is a lie. How is that possible? Well, because we live in a fallen world of lies and deception. Those lies and deceptions can closely, but not exactly, match the truth thus fooling even the most aware.

So, What is Truth?

That brings me back to the question "What is the truth"? Well, Jesus said in John 14:6 "I am the way, the truth and the life, no one comes to the Father except through me".

**John 14:6 Jesus said, "...I am the Truth..."
Jesus said He's the truth.**

That means that you don't have your own truth and I don't have my own truth. Why, because we are not Jesus. I submit a simple definition drawn from teachings in the Holy Bible is a good place to find the definition of truth. After all, Jesus said "I am the Truth..." So, truth is that which is consistent with the mind, will, character, glory, and being of God. And, even more to the point: truth is the self-expression of God. If you are not aware, Jesus is synonymous with God. Like I mentioned previously, that is the biblical meaning of truth. When you look at truth this way, it's the same yesterday, today, and tomorrow. What has always been true will always be true. The trend that you can have your own truth and so can everybody else, in reality is not the case. It's just that whoever believes that they can have their own truth more than likely doesn't believe in God or maybe that they themselves are God. Or is ignorant of who God is and the power He wields. According to John MacArthur, in the book titled "The Truth War". He said, "After thousands of years, the very best of human

We ^{Inspire} the People

philosophers have all utterly failed to account for truth and the origin of human knowledge apart from God. In fact, the one most valuable lesson humanity ought to have learned from philosophy is that it is impossible to make sense of truth without acknowledging God as the necessary starting point".

I know, or can imagine, many of you have never thought about "truth" this way. To me, it brings a whole new meaning to what is and what is not reality. In other words, what is true and what is not true. You can bet your bottom dollar that if it's not true, but rather a lie, it's not of God. And, if you know the Truth you also know God.



Democracy or Kingdom?

By Don Evens

At the foundation of our Nation, we were given a Republic, where one person's vote counts and is honored no matter what. We the People in that Republic set the course of our Country by voting, which therein contains the heart of the people and what the current culture is desiring to "have, be and do." It's supposed to work that way. Our Constitution makes it so. But here in these United States of America, Democracy is being lifted up as the singular focus. Yet in a true democracy, the "mob rules." Personal votes carry no weight because the majority is moved by intellectually deficient emotions, thus burning businesses and cities down. That is what we've seen in this era. And the mob mentality gets fueled by fake media, schoolteachers and college professors, not excluding politicians; all being controlled by globalists and their dark agendas. (Note: This is merely the outward evidence of the kingdom of darkness manifesting its rule on earth through sinful mankind... BUT! Jesus said take heart, "I have overcome the world.")

In a Kingdom there are no votes. The King rules. Jesus was His name (Yeshua means Salvation), and Christ was His title: "Anointed King." Pastors minimize this by saying Messiah or Christ simply means "the anointing."

So, what do you think? If you were in the presence of a King, the Monarch of a Kingdom who owned everything, and you addressed Him with any less than the fullness of His Title, how do you think that

would go for you?

The message of the Bible is not about a religion...

The message of the Bible is about a King... His Kingdom... and His Royal Kids...

The message of the Bible is about a government ruled by a King. The King does not rule a religion!

The message of the Bible is about a government ruled by a KING... NOT by a President nor a Prime Minister... Why is this important? Because in a Kingdom there are no votes... You cannot vote God in. (Though many feel that way... "Well God, I will do this for you if you do this for me.")

God does not work for you... a President or Prime Minister does; you vote him or her in, and they work for you. (In theory anyway.) It's vitally important to remember that the centrality of the Bible is about the Dominion of a King over His territory... Therefore, it's imperative to note that when you approach the Bible... you MUST NOT approach it as a RELIGIOUS DOCUMENT! The Word of the King declares, "The government will rest upon His shoulder." Isaiah 9:6 -- It does not say a religion will rest there. In fact, verse 7 states the truth that, "Of the increase of his government and peace there shall be no end." Take note that it does NOT say there will be an increase of his religion. Only governments can reign. Religions will always steal, kill, and destroy. Just look at what the Pharisees and Sadducees, the religious people, did to Jesus. What will you choose to submit to? The King and His Kingdom...or the Kingdom of darkness; which reigns over and influences the kingdoms of this world. (MT 4:8-10)

Oh, how many "so called Christians" take the Word of the King and pull it down to their level of living... the level they negotiate to live life with Him at, instead of obeying. -- Children obey... Adults negotiate.

A King does not negotiate. Trust in the LORD and do good; dwell in the land and enjoy safe pasture. Take delight in the LORD, and he will give you the desires of your heart. (Ps 37) A religious person reads that passage and thinks from the conceptions in their religious heart, "If I do good I will get the things I want." This thinking is born out of the conceptions of negotiation called Democracy.



By Ramesh Thakur
BrownstoneInstitute.com

We ^{wait} the People



On 11 March, my article criticising what appeared to be a slow-motion coup d'état by the World Health Organisation (WHO) to seize health powers from states in the name of preparing for, conducting early warning surveillance of, and responding to "public health emergencies of international [and regional] concern" was published in the Australian. The coup was in the form of a new pandemic treaty and an extensive package of more than 300 amendments to the existing International Health Regulations (IHR) that was signed in 2005 and came into force in 2007, together referred to as the WHO pandemic accords.

The two sets of changes to the architecture of global health governance, I argued, will effectively change the WHO from a technical advisory organisation offering recommendations into a supranational public health authority telling governments what to do.

On 3 May, the Australian published a reply by Dr. Ashley Bloomfield, co-chair of the WHO working group on the IHR amendments. Bloomfield was New Zealand's Director-General of Health from 2018–22 and received a knighthood for his services in the 2024 New Year's Honours list. His engagement with the public debate is very welcome.

Rejecting the charge that the WHO is engaged in a power grab over states, Bloomfield wrote that as a one-time senior UN official, I "would know that no single member state is going to concede sovereignty, let alone the entire 194 members."

I bow to the good doctor's superior medical knowledge in comparison to my non-existent medical qualifications.

Unfortunately, I cannot say the same with respect to reforms across the UN system, or sovereignty, or the relationship between "We the peoples" (the first three words of the UN Charter), on the one hand, and UN entities as agents in the service of the peoples, on the other. On medical and not health policy issues, I would quickly find myself out of my depth. I respectfully submit that on sovereignty concerns, Dr. Ashley may be the one out of his depth.

On the first point, I was seconded to the UN Secretariat as the senior adviser to Kofi Annan on UN reforms and wrote his second reform report that covered the entire UN system: *Strengthening the United Nations: An Agenda for Further Change* (2002). The topic of UN reforms, both the case for it and the institutional and political obstacles frustrating the achievement of the most critical reforms, forms a core chapter of my book *The United Nations, Peace and Security* (Cambridge University Press, 2006, with a substantially revised second edition published in 2017).

I was also involved in a small Canada-based group that advocated successfully for the elevation of the G20 finance ministers' group into a leaders' level group that could serve as an informal grouping for brokering agreements on global challenges, including pandemics, nuclear threats, terrorism, and financial crises. I co-wrote the book *The Group of Twenty (G20)* (Routledge, 2012) with Andrew F. Cooper, a colleague in that project.

On the second point, I played a central role in the UN's reconceptualisation of sovereignty as state responsibility and citizens as rights holders. This was unanimously endorsed by world leaders at the UN summit in 2005.

On the third point, in *Utopia Lost: The United Nations and World Order* (1995), Rosemary Righter (the former chief leader writer at the Times of London) quoted Alexander Solzhenitsyn's description of the United Nations as "a place where the peoples of the world were delivered up to the designs of governments" (p. 85).

So yes, I do indeed know something about UN system reforms and the importance of sovereignty concerns in relation to powers given to UN bodies to prescribe what states may and may not do.

In agreeing to undertake to implement the WHO advisories, states will be creating a new system of pandemic management under the WHO authority and binding under international law. It will create an open-ended international law obligation to cooperate with the WHO and to fund it. This is the same WHO that has a track record of incompetence, poor decision-making, and politicised conduct. The insistence that sovereignty is not being surrendered is formulaic and legalistic, not substantive and meaningful in practice.

It relies on a familiar technique of gaslighting that permits plausible deniability on both sides. The WHO will say it only issued advisories. States will say they are only implementing WHO recommendations as otherwise, they will become rogue international outlaws. The resulting structure of decision-making effectively confers powers without responsibility on the WHO while shredding accountability of governments to their electorates. The losers are the peoples of the world.

A "Litany of Lies" and Misconceptions? Not So Fast.

Bloomfield's engagement with the public debate on the WHO-centric architecture of global health governance is very welcome. I have lauded the WHO's past impressive achievements in earlier writings, for example in the co-written book *Global Governance and the UN: An Unfinished Journey* (Indiana University Press, 2010). I also agree wholeheartedly that it continues to do a lot of good work, 24/7. In early 2020 I fought with a US editor to reject a reference to the possible virus escape from the Wuhan lab because of WHO's emphatic statements to the contrary. I later apologized to him for my naivete.

Once betrayed, twice shy of the message: "Trust us. We are from the WHO, here to keep you safe."

Sir Ashley was merely echoing the WHO chief. Addressing the World Governments Summit in Dubai on 12 February, Director-General (DG) Tedros Adhanom Ghebreyesus attacked "the litany of lies and conspiracy theories" about the agreement that "are utterly, completely, categorically false. The pandemic agreement will not give WHO any power

over any state or any individual."

DG Tedros and Sir Ashley do protest too much. If Australia chooses as a sovereign nation to sign them, that does not mean there is no loss of effective sovereignty (that is, the power to make its health decisions) from that point on.

This is why all 49 Republican senators have "strongly" urged President Joe Biden to reject the proposed changes. The expansion of "WHO's authority over member states during" pandemic emergencies, they warn, would "constitute intolerable infringements upon US sovereignty." In addition, 22 Attorneys-General have informed Biden that the WHO writ under the new accords will not run in their states.

"Legally Binding" vs "Loss of Sovereignty" is a Distinction without a Difference

They can't all be part of a global conspiracy to peddle a litany of lies. The WHO is offering up a highly specious argument. Sir Ashley didn't really engage with the substance of my arguments either. He dismissed criticism of the proposed changes as "an attempt by the WHO to gain the power to dictate to countries what they must do in the event of a pandemic" as a "misconception."

I get the argument that sovereign states are voluntarily agreeing to this. In terms of legal technicality, it might well be more accurate, as Libby Klein suggests in her draft letter to Australian MPs, to use words and phrases like "ceding autonomy," "yielding "effective control over public health decisions," "outsourcing public health decision-making to the WHO," or "offshoring our public health decision-making." This is the legalistic distinction that Bloomfield is effectively making.

Since the creation of the United Nations in 1945, states have been required to conduct themselves increasingly in conformity with international standards. And it is the UN system that sets most of the relevant international standards and benchmarks of state behaviour.

For example, for centuries countries had the absolute right to wage wars of aggression and defence as an acknowledged and accepted attribute of sovereignty. By adopting the United Nations Charter in 1945, they gave up the right to wage aggressive wars. I am very glad they did so. Just because the surrender of this aspect of sovereignty was voluntary, it doesn't mean there was no surrender of sovereignty.

The Effort Should Be Put on Indefinite Hold

It is an iron law of politics that any power that can be abused, will be abused by someone, somewhere, some time in the future. For current examples of overreach by a technocrat, look no further than Australia's eSafety Commissioner. The truly frightening thing about her example is the realisation of just how much her efforts have been deliberately embedded in a global campaign to "bureaucratise" and control the internet.

